

**LEGAL_AWARENESS IN AUGUST 2023 ITEM 64 EMAIL DATED 12 05 2023 10 07 2023 23 08 2023 TO
SECRETARY JUDICIAL LOKAYUKTA ODISHA**

**YOUR LETTER NO 3455/LY DATED 06 05 2023
jayanti das <jayantidasorissa2012@gmail.com>**

**Fri, May 12, 2023
12:57 PM**

to lokayukta.odisha, supremecourt

To

**OFFICE OF THE LOKAYUKTA, ODISHA
B-2, GROUND FLOOR, TOSHALI BHAWAN,
SATYA NAGAR BHUBANESWAR-751007**

Copy to

Hon'ble Chief Justice of India

From

JAYANTI DAS

W/O- KUMUDABANDHU DAS

CHINTAMANI NIWAS

MOHAMADIA BAZAR

CHANDNI CHOWK,

CUTTACK- 753002

**SUBJECT- YOUR LETTER NO3455/LY DATED 06 05 2023 POSTED ON 08905 2023 AND RECEIVED ON 12
05 2023**

Sir

**Please refer to the above mentioned letter intimating that my complaint dated 10 04 2023 has been
registered as case no LY 198/2023.**

**As per the letter you require a copy of identity proof, notarized affidavit and hard copy of enclosed
documents for further processing of the complaint.**

**It is necessary to say that the requirement is not applicable as it has been already produced in the
High Court and it is a case filed in compliance to High Court Order and the identification and the
affidavit has been already sworn as per the rule of the High Court.**

**Regarding hard copies of enclosed documents I want to say that those are already sent to you as is the
basis as presented both in Supreme Court and Orissa High Court through email and your good office
can download which is more authentic.**

The conditions of amendment rule should be waived as it has passed two stages of verification from the top courts and most of influential organs of the constitution willfully and officially have violated the constitution like separation of power, independence of judiciary and above all guidelines of Supreme Court in the matter of allotment of public property like land etc.

The amendment rule does not apply to this complaint as nothing new has been added to this complaint and it is different from the regular complaints filed first in Lokayukta.

The High Court has already perused the issues and allegations and thought it proper to refer it to Lokayukta as the matter relates to corruption.

Under the circumstances I request you to proceed further in this matter.

I also request you to allow me to present my case through email, speed post without engaging a lawyer and dispense me to be present physically in this matter.

I am providing certain documents where the Odisha Govt through its AOR in Supreme Court has misguided and impersonated before the Triple bench including Hon'ble CJI several times in collusion with Supreme Court Registry. This is the reason I want to present the case myself without engaging a lawyer as the case is directly against the ruling party.

Thanking you

Yours faithfully

Jayanti Das

One attachment

One attachment • Scanned by Gmail

jayanti das <jayantidasorissa2012@gmail.com>

Mon, Jul 10, 2023

8:39 PM

to secy.president, vpindia, govodisha, oslsa1997, highcourt.or, lokayukta.odisha, supremecourt

To

SECRETARY JUDICIAL

OFFICE OF THE LOKAYUKTA, ODISHA
B-2, GROUND FLOOR, TOSHALI BHAWAN,
SATYA NAGAR BHUBANESWAR-751007

Copy to

Hon'ble Chief Justice of India

Hon'ble President of India

Hon'ble Vice president of India

Hon'ble Governor of Odisha

OSLSA

Registrar General Odisha High Court on behalf of MIGLAS OHC

From

JAYANTI DAS

W/O- KUMUDABANDHU DAS

CHINTAMANI NIWAS

MOHAMADIA BAZAR

CHANDANI CHOWK,

CUTTAK- 753002

SUBJECT- YOUR LETTER NO 5266/LY DATED 06 07 2023 RECEIVED ON 10 07 2023 AND LETTER NO 3455/LY DATED 06 05 2023 RECEIVED ON 12 05 2023

CASE NO LY 198 OF 2023

Sir

Regarding your para 1 where you have that I have failed to submit Notarized Affidavit, self signed identity proof and hard copy of enclosed documents; it is necessary to mention that you have not gone through my previous email dated 12 05 2023 and not mentioned officially in your above mentioned letter where I have clarified point wise about the non requirement of the amendment rules 2022 in my petition as it has been referred from Hon'ble OHC.

You are requested to clarify my previous email dated 12 05 2023 officially either through speed post or email or else it can be assumed I have complied all the requirements as I have gone through the scanner of Hon'ble OHC and the bench thought it proper that it is a fit case for Lokayukta.

A hard copy of a document to be submitted as instructed is not necessary as everything has gone digital and you can download the hard copy yourself as the soft copy is available with you.

Under the circumstances I request you to proceed further in this matter.

I also request you to allow me to present my case through email, speed post without engaging a lawyer and dispense me to be present physically in this matter.

I am providing certain documents where the Odisha Govt through its AOR in Supreme Court has misguided and impersonated before the Triple bench including Hon'ble CJI several times in collusion with Supreme Court Registry. This is the reason I want to present the case myself without engaging a lawyer as the case is directly against the ruling party.

You are requested to comment on the above request of mine and if it is not permitted then you are requested to provide an advocate attached to Lokayukta whose fees I will bear as per the Lokayukta Act as I am not getting an advocate to assist me to satisfy your legal requirements almost all advocates are affiliated to one political party or another and they don't want to ruin their career in this case.

It is my fundamental right to get justice and you are supposed to perform your duty by providing me with an advocate.

You are requested not to threaten to dismiss the complaint in your last paragraph where you yourself have not followed due course of law and being a secretary I don't think you have the power to dismiss any of the complaints related to corruption of unthinkable influential persons who looted the state exchequer.

You are suggested to present all my emails, documents along with the main complaint on the next date of hearing i.e. 14 08 2023 before the bench and let the bench decide whether Lokayukta has jurisdiction to order for CBI enquiry which is my main prayer in OHC.

Thanking you

Yours faithfully

Jayanti Das

jayanti das <jayantidasorissa2012@gmail.com>

Mon, Jul 10, 2023

8:47 PM

to Srinivas

jayanti das <jayantidasorissa2012@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 23, 2023

7:15 AM

to lokayukta.odisha, supremecourt, secy.president, vpindia, connect, mljoffice, lci-dla, rg.orihc, Prashant, Ramesh, aku.adv, Srinivas

To

Secretary Judicial Lokayukta Odisha

Copy to

Hon'ble Chief Justice of India

Hon'ble President of India

Hon'ble Vice president of India

PMO

MINISTRY OF LAW AND JUSTICE

LAW COMMISSION

Registrar General Odisha High Court on behalf of MIGLAS OHC

Shri Prashant Bhushan Advocate Supreme Court

Shri Ramesh Mishra Advocate Supreme Court

Shri Srinivas Mohanty Advocate Orissa High Court

Shri Ashwini Upadhyay Advocate Supreme Court

Sir

SUBJECT-CASE NO LY / 198 / 2023

LETTER NO 6602 DATED 16 08 2023 SIGNED BY YOU ON 14 08 2023 AND POSTED ON 17 08 2023 AND RECEIVED BY ME ON 22 08 2023

You have forwarded a copy of the order of the above mentioned case.

It is pertinent to mention that the first line of the order is not correct as it says none for the complainant despite service of notice. I am unable to understand the meaning of the sentence. You have also mentioned that I have not removed the defects pointed out so the bench is not in a position to take cognizance of the complaint in the present form and so it is closed.

It is pertinent to mention that no signature is made for the chairperson and the member except two empty rubber stamps which was not required if it was not signed by the authorities and their names were also not mentioned.

You have also not considered the para 3, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 of my email dated 10 07 2023 during the time of hearing of my case and I really do not know whether all my correspondence and written submissions made through speed post and email addressed to Lokayukta were placed before the bench or not as there is no mention of these matters in the order dated 14 08 2023 except the cause of rejection was given that I have not removed the defects.

The bench did not mention anything about my written submission where I had explained that why I cannot remove the defects and why there is no necessity for that as it is a referred case from Hon'ble Orissa High Court and due procedure was already followed in the High Court and Hon'ble Orissa High Court had already sensed the corruption matter which was referred to you.

You are silent on your jurisdiction for directing a CBI enquiry or not; if it is not in your domain then this case is not yours.

I have information under RTI that some members of Lokayukta have availed plots under Discretionary Quota from HUD ministers of Odisha and this case is now for your perusal about the documents which are already with you and it gives no right to hear the case by Lokayukta about their fellow members because of CONFLICT OF INTEREST where this court is not an ordinary court but is made for corruption matters and it may be anyone.

There is also apprehension of miscarriage of justice as the cadre officers who have served the ruling party and saluting the high commands of ruling party for several years and now they are selected as

member of Lokayukta to make enquiry and hear the cases against their previous bosses sounds ridiculous and cannot be trusted in an anti corruption court.

These two things happened in Lokayukta Odisha which needs to be rectified on war footing by the higher court and others who make appointments and the selection of Lokayukta members and then cases against corrupt govt officials will be heard or else it is just an eye wash.

It is realized that the complainant is always a victim and has to fill up several forms, do due diligence, file affidavit again and again whereas the accused officers are left scot free although documentary RTI evidences are placed before the Lokayukta because of the faulty system and there are serious objectionable loopholes exist in the system.

My cases are placed before you only because of High Court order and these are the issues where suo motu cognizance should have been taken by Lokayukta instead of saying cognizance cannot be taken although the evidence is lying before them for reasons best known to them.

Under the circumstances you are suggested to go through all the materials placed before you referred from the High Court which you can also call for from the High Court Registry instead of asking me to notarize again and again which are already in the notarized form in High Court.

You are also suggested to make an internal enquiry about your members since inception that whether they have availed any form of favour from anybody from political parties costing to state exchequer and there should be a culture of filling up Form A by Lokayukta itself and uploaded in their website.

You are also suggested to repeal the order of Lokayukta dated 14 08 2023 and initiate fresh enquiry into the matter as there are no lawful defects in my case and it is not an ordinary case related to some CORRUPTION BY BDO or ANGANWADI BUT HERE IT IS A CASE AGAINST CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITIES.

Jayanti Das

----- Forwarded message -----

From: jayanti das <jayantidasorissa2012@gmail.com>

To: lokayukta.odisha@gov.in, supremecourt@nic.in, secy.president@rb.nic.in, vpindia@nic.in, connect@mygov.nic.in, mljoffice@gov.in, lci-dla@nic.in, rg.orihc@indiancourts.nic.in, Prashant Bhushan <prashantbhush@gmail.com>, "Ramesh K. Mishra" <mishrarameshk@gmail.com>, Srinivas Mohanty <srinivas.mohanty8@gmail.com>, aku.adv@gmail.com

Cc:

Bcc:

Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2023 07:15:51 +0530

Subject: Re: YOUR LETTER NO 3455/LY DATED 06 05 2023

----- Message truncated -----

jayanti das <jayantidasorissa2012@gmail.com>

Thu, Aug 24, 2023

10:45 AM

to aku.aor